DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

William Caton

From: OTF OSfilings

Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2003 8:26 AM

To: William Caton

Subject: Fwd: FCC **Request** for Waiver









FCC Appeal for attachment #1.jpg attachment #2.jpg attachment #3.jpg Request for Wai... (743 KB) (400 KB) (308 KB)

here you go...Best of luck.

____-Original Message-----

Date: 04/10/2003 08:26 am -0400 (Thursday)

From: CCB CCBSecretary To: OTF OSfilings CC: FilingsArchive

Subject: Fwd: FCC Request for Waiver

--__Original Message----

Date: 04/09/2003 01:51 pm -0400 (Wednesday)

From: Hernandez Toby To: CCB CCBSecretary CC: Aragon. Maritza

Subject: FCC Request for Waiver

This email is a Request for Waiver from the FCC, regarding our erate application 471 for funding year 2003-2004. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at $305\ 819\ 8855\ x127$.

Mr. Hernandez Youth Co-Op Charter School 12051 W. Okeechobee Rd. Hialeha Gardens, FL 33018

> No. of Copies rec'd_____ List ABODE

FCCAppealfor Requestfor Waiver

I. Contact Information: Maritza Aragon, 12051 W. Okeechobee Rd., Hialeah

Gardens, FL 33018, PH #305 819 8855, Fax: 305 819 8455,

Email: Marauon@yccs.dadeschools.net.

II. Appeal Action: We are seeking a REQUEST FOR WAIVER, on the form

Titled FUNDING YEAR 2003 FORM 471

CERTIFICATION POSTED OUTSIDE OF WINDOW (471

application #365017), dated March, 12* 2003.

III. FRN Number: CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21 (FRN Number not

applicable)

IV. Appeal:

We are seeking a **waiver request of the deadline** for the February 6th deadline for filing of the form 471. We do not contest that our *paper* certification, Block 6, was received on the 7th of February. However, we did submit *electronically* all of our 471 information on the 6th of February. The FCC states that a waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. It would serve in the best public interest to allow the online application to be the determining date of submission. The impact on services to the community, and its students, would be grave to an area that is made up of over 90% minorities, and predominantly lower socioeconomic status, (thus why we qualify in the 90% discount range on erate).

Our great need alone, while important to note, does not qualify as a special circumstance however. The circumstances surrounding the submission of the paper certification are also a part of the grounds we base our argument on. There was good cause involved in submitting the paper certification on the 7" instead of the 6th. The individual responsible for signing the certification letter could not be present on the 6th due to a principal's meeting, and Title I meeting, which lasted double session (see attachment #1 & 2). With regards to the procurement process, we had received a bid that morning of the 6th (see attachment #3) which qualified as the best bid and would enable the school to pursue internal wiring of the building, an expense that could now become possible thanks to the new

quote. This changed the 471 because of the addition, and while it was to be submitted online by someone else with this change on the 6th, with authority from the individual responsible for the certification, the actual signature would not be possible to attain until the next business day. This was because by the time the principal came back to school, it was past 6pm, the latest one could submit something for next day, overnight delivery. Our initial presumption that led to our believing that the principal could be back in plenty of time to sign the form and send it was incorrect due to factors that were largely out of our control.

This submission was not due to clerical error, nor irresponsibility on behalf of the sender. We are making the argument that a timely submission of the 471 document was made if one adheres to the online filing of the document, as well as to the spirit and diligence of the filing. We have participated fully in the E-rate program, and have benefited from this program in countless ways. We implore those at the FCC to allow us to continue to participate in this program, and continue to provide the technological exposure that a vast majority of our economically disadvantaged youth would not otherwise have. An appeal should be awarded not simply because of circumstances at the time, but in keeping in mind our past track record with the program, as well as its impact on the school and community.

We also understand that deadlines must be adhered to, if the program is to be run efficiently, and fairly. Deadlines must be placed and honored. Our argument is that this case does meet the criteria for timeliness, and responsibility, as well as having to do with extenuating circumstances. We hope that the FCC will approve our appeal, on the basis of its merit, and its willingness to provide the students at our school with the very best we can offer.

	DIVISION OF SCHOOLS OF CHOICE SECOND QUARTERLY CHARTER SCHOOLS MEETING	
เกิกกระเกิ	DIVISIONOF SCHOOLS OF CHOICE SECOND QUARTERLY CHARTER SCHOOLS MEETING February 6,2003 SBAB • Auditorium 8:30 a.m 12:30 p.m. A G E N D A Welcome. Mr. Michael Bell, Administrative Director	
	enderitie 1 AGENDA Chutya LINA.	
	Edu	
	Welcome Mr. Michael Bell, Administrative Director Division of Schools of Choice	
	introductions	
	/ Networking Opportunities for	2
	Charter Schools Operators Facilitator: Ms. Terry Maus, Principal Northeast Academy	
	FCAT Student Results	
	Mr. James Berty, Educational Specialist	
	Office of Educational Planning and Quality Enhancement	
	Financial Audit Compliance Mr. George Balsa, Chief Auditor	
	Qffice of Management and Compliance Audits	
	135.7083 On. Som	
	1 20 30 .	
	Wage and Salary	
	Acceleration for High Achievement	
	Contract Compliance Issues	
	BenchmarksEESAC	
	Personnel Issues	
nanana	Contract Compliance Issues	

تا ب	신 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집 집	ت ت

Ι

Charter Schools Quarterly Meeting

	School Board Administration Building, Room 559
att.	Preize
I.	Organizational Operations
Budg	Title I Administration Mrs. Collette Richardson, District Supervisor Title I Administration With Jet the been months Title I Requirements
II.	Title I Requirements
	A. Self-Evaluation 2002-2003 B. Regulations and Guidelines
– III.	Management Issues
	A. Budget B. Regulations and Guidelines Office of Budget Management (horase, Carrelle)
IV.	A. Budget B. Regulations and Guidelines Current Issues
	B. Regulations and Guidelines
	B. Regulations and Guidelines Current Issues



N arch 21,2003

Nr. Hernandez, AP
Youth coop Charter School
1: 051 Wen Okeechobee Road
Miami, Florida

D ar Sir:

This is to confirm that our quote for the additional Data Network Wiring that you requested for E-rate 6 Funding was prepared on February 5,2003, and faxed to you on February 6,2003.

We prepared the quote on the same day we visited the School, but did not have time to send it to you on the same day.

Si ould you require additional information, please let us know.

Yours truly,

Tilecom Engineering Consultants, Inc.

.